

Ewelina Flatow-Kaleta\*, Katarzyna Waszyńska\*\*

Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań

\* eflatow@o365.amu.edu.pl; ORCID: 0000-0002-0662-2383

\*\* katarzyna.waszyńska@amu.edu.pl; ORCID: 0000-0003-1495-4537

## Professional psychopedagogical help online with examples of therapy and mediation (Un)necessary answer to difficult situations

**Abstract:** This article addresses the topic of online psychopedagogical help using therapy and mediation as examples, placing it in the broader context of the latest theoretical and empirical analyses. It also highlights practical implications. The aim of this study is, on the one hand, to demonstrate the possibilities offered by the application of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in various forms of individual support. On the other hand, it seeks to raise awareness of the existing barriers in this form of work. Particular emphasis has been placed on the issue of the helper's competencies, which contribute to the quality of mediation and therapeutic support. An attempt has been made to answer the question about the significance of enriching the education programs of various specialists, including psychologists, pedagogues, mediators, and counselors, with content related to online support.

**Key words:** online therapy, online mediation, psychopedagogical support, education of specialists, online working competence.

### Introduction

The expansion of the scope of professional psychopedagogical online support is driven by both the increasing demand for such help, which is associated with the growing popularity of these services, and the rapid progress of information

technology and the digitization of society in terms of pace and reach. The use of distance communication means, as a clearly observable trend, is also a response to changing expectations of recipients who experience numerous benefits from the offered e-services in various areas of their functioning. The interest in online support is also a result of the current lifestyle associated with the “instant culture” and the typical need for “immediacy” in these times (Melosik, 2001). One could expand the triad “fast food/fast car/fast sex,” described by Melosik and characteristic of postmodernity, to include a new dimension: “fast help.”

This demand also stems from the many difficult and crisis situations that have arisen in Poland in recent years, resulting in “black protests,” teacher strikes, and women’s protests, among others. The global situation has also increased the level of perceived stress and uncertainty about the future for many people. This includes the global Covid-19 pandemic and the war in Ukraine, among other events. A number of national and international studies indicate that lockdown-related restrictions have had a significant impact on the mental health of people around the world (Bao et al., 2020, Brooks et al., 2020, Appleton et al., 2021, Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski [2021]; Zielona-Jenek et al., [2021]). The need to simultaneously perform family and professional roles in the home space (transition to *home office*), as well as the inability to pursue additional activities, has resulted in an increase in conflict situations and problems in psychological functioning (Dąbek, 2021; Dragan et al., 2020; Ćwikła 2021; Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski, 2021).

Of course, the choice of remote help was not always a conscious decision based on a cost-benefit analysis. It was often driven by the inability to access traditional face-to-face support. Certainly, however, the pandemic, and the isolation that came with it, were largely the catalyst for increased ICT services in various forms of support. We can observe that the same factors that lead to the expansion of e-services (including therapeutic and mediation services) also contribute to the increasing demand for online help. We are referring, for example, to the aforementioned situation of the pandemic, which can contribute to the exacerbation of existing social difficulties or the emergence of secondary mental health crises (Brooks et al., 2020; Panchal et al., 2021). Recently, we should also add the migration crisis and the enormous demand for support for individuals who, for various reasons such as financial, logistical, communicational, or language barriers, will be recipients of online psychopedagogical help.

All these elements indicate that the interest in online therapy, psychotherapy, counseling, and mediation should be considered not as incidental and in the context of addressing difficulties in accessing traditional forms of support, but as a broad and continuously evolving trend. The change in recipients’ expectations and the resulting expansion of remote help services have necessitated the continuous improvement of tools and e-platforms to minimize barriers and ensure their safe and effective utilization. This evolution must, on the one hand, take into account

the benefits associated with it, and on the other hand, address the numerous barriers and challenges faced by professionals in maintaining a high standard of the services offered. The effectiveness and quality of this support will largely depend on the e-competence of the individuals providing remote help.

## **The essence of online mediation and therapeutic help**

Online mediation (e-mediation, cybermediation) is defined as “a process of dispute resolution in which a third party – a professional mediator – uses electronic communication techniques, such as email, chat, teleconferencing, or videoconferencing, to facilitate the parties in finding satisfactory solutions and reaching a settlement” (Grabowski, 2012, p. 43). It is a conflict management method focused on supporting the parties in finding solutions that are based on their needs, acceptable, legal (without seeking to circumvent the law), and in line with the principles of social coexistence. It is one of the methods of conflict resolution that involves the intervention of a third party, without impeding the decision-making autonomy of the parties involved. In the case of e-mediation, this intervention takes place using electronic communication methods. Mediation, as a specific method of communication in conflict, is one of the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) methods used to resolve disputes. In the context of online mediation, we refer to Online Dispute Resolution (ODR), which is a method of resolving conflicts based on traditional mediation principles but utilizing modern technologies, primarily the Internet (Czapska, 2019, p. 23). Other terms used in literature and legal regulations include Internet Dispute Resolution (iDR), Electronic ADR (eADR), and online ADR (oADR). In this study, the analysis was limited to one method of ODR – mediation, specifically focusing on the form that involves the use of ICT by the mediator.

Online therapy (e-therapy, cybertherapy, online support, e-intervention) “involves the use of a computer (or other device operating on information and communication technologies) to provide, enhance, or facilitate therapy.” Cybertherapy, which usually complements the traditional patient-therapist contact, encompasses interventions carried out on the Internet and in the virtual reality environment” (Wiederhold, Wiederhold, 2006, cited in Skrzypińska, 2014, p. 26).

Therapy, like mediation conducted in an online format, can take many forms. Due to the mode, scope, and form of communication, we can distinguish between asynchronous actions without simultaneous connection, where interaction occurs with varying delays (traditional mail, emails, voice recordings), and synchronous actions in real time (chat rooms, chats, discussion boards, telephone consultations, teleconferences, video conferences), wholly or partially based on written communication (Czapska, 2019; Goodman, 2006; Skowroński, Waszyńska, 2016). There is, for example, a model in e-counseling based on exchanging

four emails, which combines the principles of motivational counseling, solution-focused therapy, and narrative approach. After the completion of help, “the client is further motivated to continue working on themselves, and if necessary, they are offered follow-up contact after a designated time to check what positive outcomes they have achieved in the process of actively resolving difficulties. The client also receives a list of contacts, sources, and publications that are useful and serve as additional resources for implementing positive changes (Skowroński, Waszyńska, 2015a, p. 86). In practice, one can encounter mixed forms and additional solutions that fit into the formula of online therapy and mediation, including internet application forms, downloadable materials from the Internet, the use of sophisticated algorithms and artificial intelligence to analyze solutions proposed by clients through special platforms (Gassova et al., 2018; Czapska, 2019; Skrzypińska, 2014). A review of the literature and researchers’ perspectives indicates that in the online environment, we more often encounter short-term forms of work, although recent years have also led to increased interest in long-term support models.

It is also worth noting that there are solutions in the field of e-mediation and e-therapy that significantly or completely limit the involvement of a specialist in the process. They are based on asynchronous text-based communication or internet platforms that rely on complex algorithms. These are self-help packages available online in the case of therapy, which allow the patient/client to learn techniques independently without the involvement of a therapist. In the case of mediation, there are e-mediation systems where mediation takes place “without direct contact between the parties and without the physical presence of a mediator, and the settlement is suggested by an expert system that proposes a solution based on the confidential expectations expressed by each party, within the scope of the participants’ interests.” (Krawczyk-Bryłka, Bellwon, 2014, p. 345).

In the further part of the study, the focus will be on the form of online therapy and mediation that most closely resembles face-to-face communication, namely synchronous support using video conferencing, where real-time dialogue becomes possible (on-on-one online intervention) (Amichai-Hamburger et al., 2014; Kluzowicz, Kluzowicz, 2021). According to Skrzypińska, “computers connected to the Internet are used as devices (...) that facilitate communication between patients and therapists” (Skrzypińska, 2014, p. 26). Regardless of the implemented model, it is important for professionals providing online help to strive for creating the best working conditions in their client relationships and to adhere to ethical principles and professional standards (Skowroński, Waszyńska, 2016a).

## Online therapy and mediation before and in (post)pandemic reality

Indeed, as mentioned above, the pandemic and lockdown restrictions have contributed to a significant boom in the use of e-help. However, it should be noted that e-help was already being analyzed and implemented to a lesser extent before the pandemic. At the end of the 20th century and the beginning of the 21st century, documents and studies were already being created worldwide regarding recommendations for the use of e-help (APA, 1997; Budman, 2000; Barak, Buchanan, 2004; Fenichel, 2004; Holmes, Ainsworth, 2004; Kraus, 2004; Zack, 2004). In the Polish context, before the pandemic, various authors had already written about the principles of online work, therapeutic process models, and ethical and legal aspects of this form of assistance, including Aouil (2004, 2010), Chocholska, Osipczuk (2010), Skrzypińska (2014), Waszyńska, Skowroński (2015a, b, c, 2016, 2017), Madej, Sendler, Makara-Studzińska (2016). Issues relating to the essence of this form of help were also presented and discussed by Skowroński and Waszyńska at international conferences (2014, 2017). In the work “Wprowadzenie do poradnictwa i psychoterapii przez Internet,” readers can familiarize themselves with the authors’ proposed description of contact, which refers to the principles of working in an online setting (Skowroński, Waszyńska, 2016).

At the time, the Polish “helper” community was much more skeptical of the use of e-help than their foreign counterparts. However, based on international recommendations and the increasingly widespread use of the Internet in various areas of life in Poland, the attitude of professionals in Poland has also begun to change. On the basis of surveys conducted even before the outbreak of the Covid-19 pandemic among Polish psychotherapists, Drath and Nęcki (2018) pointed to the increasingly widespread consent of the community to the encroachment of communication and information technologies into the field of their professional activities. They concluded by reflecting that “the dilemma of “whether to use online psychotherapy?” is becoming less and less significant, and the question of “when and how to use it?” is becoming more important.” (Drath, Nęcki, 2018, p. 61). The expansion of these services in the era of the pandemic has been noted in numerous studies locally and internationally. The need for online help has been noticed not only by professionals themselves, but also by clients. This is how Dąbek defines this change when it comes to mediation: “Instead of traditional face-to-face meetings, new methods of online mediation have emerged (previously only sporadically present)” (Dąbek, 2021, p. 155). The same trend applied to psychotherapy. These results are demonstrated by the research of Frąckowiak-Sochańska and Hermanowski (2021): the percentage of psychotherapists working online before the pandemic was 27.7%, and after its outbreak, this percentage

increased to 94.1% (Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski, 2021, p. 14). It was a heterogeneous picture, which generated additional difficulties in adapting to the new reality: “most psychotherapists conducted sessions online via audio-video connection (Skype, Messenger, Zoom, Google Meet, etc.). This form of holding sessions was also chosen by the vast majority of clients. Many psychotherapists opted for a hybrid approach, meeting some clients virtually through audio-video connections and speaking with others over the phone. Some respondents in this group conducted some of the sessions in a traditional, face-to-face format” (Kluzowicz, Kluzowicz, 2021, p. 10).

The period of the pandemic can be considered as a catalyst for changes in this regard: changes in attitudes, changes at the level of procedural and technical solutions, and even legislative and procedural changes. In response to the situation related to the psychological consequences of Covid-19 and the impact of infection risk on the availability of various forms of support, official guidelines, recommendations, and standards began to be developed. This included mediation and therapeutic societies and associations, as well as recommendations from Polish consultants in psychiatry and clinical psychology. Both in the case of recommendations relating to therapy and online mediation, the procedure was not, as a rule, treated as a standard of practice. However, it was allowed in an extraordinary situation (isolation related to the Covid-19 pandemic), and this forced attempts to organize and standardize it. Again, there were many differences in this field, and the high dynamics of changing positions and recommendations could even make it difficult for specialists to function in the new reality. In a research report aimed at analyzing the experiences of psychodynamic psychotherapists in working with patients during the Covid-19 epidemic, Zielona-Jenek, Izdebska and Soroko (2021) describe it this way: “In some positions, the justification for remote therapeutic work in epidemic conditions was emphasized (cf. Declaration of the Scientific Section of Psychotherapy of the Polish Psychiatric Association dated March 13, 2020, Information from the Polish Society for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy, Declaration of the Board, Council and Ethics Committee of the Polish Society for Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy, dated March 16, 2020, Recommendations of the Board of the Polish Society for Gestalt Psychotherapy dated 15.03.2020). The societies that had previously recognized the validity of remote work (e.g., PTPPB) showed greater openness towards it. In other cases, the possibility of providing valuable psychotherapeutic work without personal contact was discussed conditionally (Recommendations of the Board of the Polish Society for Gestalt Psychotherapy dated 18.03.2020) or critically” (Zielona-Jenek et al., 2021, p. 2–3). The largest mediation association in Poland responded to online mediation in a similar tone. The Standards for Conducting Mediation, formulated by the Polish Mediation Center, stated that “the standard is mediation conducted face-to-face, however, in the case of a crisis situation (such as a pandemic) or the impossibility of a face-to-face mediation meeting, it is permissible to use

e-mediation. E-mediation is a complement to the traditional form of mediation conducted at the Polish Mediation Center, so the e-mediation standards are a supplement to the Polish Mediation Center's mediator's work standards derived from the Center's Standards for Conducting Mediation in Various Specialties, the Center's Mediator Code of Ethics and relevant legal provisions." This has had an impact on the practice of mediation, including court-ordered mediation, regarding which the Ministry of Justice on April 20, 2020 sent a letter to the presidents of District Courts with a request to "consider and promote the possibility of referring cases to mediation, with particular emphasis on online mediation."

Effort-Szczepaniak aptly describes the state of development of online mediation after the Covid 19 pandemic. This analysis can also be extended to the entire spectrum of psychopedagogical support and help services, including therapeutic services provided using modern information and communication technologies. "(...) although the surge in online mediation was caused in part by the COVID-19 pandemic, the context for these proposals is broader and not limited to emergencies. Indeed, the widespread use of online mediation is not only determined by difficulties in the operation of the common courts or the inability of the parties and the mediator to meet in person. The use of remote communication methods also allows for the resolution of disputes through mediation between parties who may be geographically distant from each other. This is also important for real savings related to, for example, avoiding the need to travel to the mediation site. Moreover, information technology tools related to communication are constantly being improved, including ensuring the security of the participants. Therefore, there is hope for further development stages of online mediation" (Effort-Szczepaniak, 2021, p. 124).

As a result, conducting research on the possibilities and limitations associated with the widespread implementation of the online formula becomes essential in the field of psychological and pedagogical assistance, including mediation and therapeutic services. It is also important to find out the attitudes and opinions of both sides of the process, and, as a further consequence, to develop reliable standards and, based on this – to enrich the education and training programs of specialists with this aspect. This theme appears to be a challenge, especially in view of the heterogeneous and – in many places – vague indications for therapeutic and mediation practice. Additionally, it is worth noting that professionals themselves verbalize uncertainty, lack of competence in this area, and thus, the need for improvement (Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski, 2021; Zielona-Jenek et al., 2021).

## Online help process. Selected topics in the education of professionals

### Technical basics of online help

The first challenge in online contact is “knowledge of technical aspects of e-therapy” (Madej et al., 2016, p. 33; Skowroński, Waszyńska, 2016): verification of equipment functionality, internet connection, power supply backup, data security control, selection of suitable communication medium and platform. These seemingly purely technical aspects need to be tailored to the capabilities of the recipient and communicated appropriately. The aim is to build a sense of security based on the perception of the specialist as a competent person, also in this field. The e-mediation standards require the mediator to conduct a diagnostic interview beforehand to “check the quality of the connection and the clients’ ability to communicate via a particular communicator.” The issue of securing continuity of contact in the form of an alternative tool (e.g., telephone), communication formula (e.g., chat) or platform is also raised (Standardy... 2020). It is worth mentioning at this point the importance of these issues in the context of the confidentiality of the support process. In both therapy and mediation, it pertains not only to the course of the online session, but also to its recording (most often excluded), storage and safeguarding of data, including recordings (Gassova et al., 2018, Mania, 2010, 2017; Skowroński, Waszyńska, 2016).

### Temporal aspect of online meetings

An important but often overlooked aspect is chronemics, which refers to the time aspect of online meetings. Both in terms of practice and guidelines for the education and development of professionals, this aspect should be considered in terms of:

- choosing the optimal time (considering the availability of all participants, the limits of time commitment, the presence of distractions in the environment, etc.),
- optimizing session timing (taking into account time zones for individuals in distant locations),
- adjusting the length of the meeting and possible breaks to the specificities of online work,
- punctuality (taking into account any potential technical issues that may arise),
- the moment and formula for ending sessions (taking into account the fact that for many clients, it is perceived as more difficult due to the “sudden interruption of the conversation”) (Kluzowicz, Kluzowicz, 2021, p. 14).

## **Organization of space for online work**

Another issue that should be part of the preparation of specialists for work in the online formula is the organization of the work space. The specialist must be aware of the importance of nonverbal aspects of communication related to the arrangement of the environment, organization of space, and what Mehrabian refers to as “appearance signals” (clothing, accessories, makeup, hairstyle). As research indicates, it proves to be a challenge to find the right space to guarantee confidentiality and comfort in the process (Kluzowicz, Kluzowicz, 2021; Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski, 2021). This applies to both parties, although the specialist must be mindful of standards, including ethical requirements, which suggest conducting online sessions in a fixed location, using the space of the office/center or, in super-critical situations, another place “that gives the impression of professionalism and provides an opportunity for free conversation” (Standardy... [2020]). This could be a clue for professionals who considered “the need to invite patients/clients into their private space” (Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski, [2021], p. 18) as one of the main difficulties of e-help.

## **Diagnosis in the remote work formula**

According to numerous studies, the online formula implies difficulties in terms of diagnosis based on – important, after all – non-verbal elements of communication (Madej et al., 2016; Krawczyk-Bryłka, Bellwon, 2014; Olasupo, Atiri, 2013; Wasser, 2021; Zielona-Jenek et al. [2021]). Diagnosing during an ICT-based meeting, if not preceded by a face-to-face meeting (which is recommended), requires the specialist to have a high level of experience, awareness, and knowledge of the limitations of online diagnosis and communication (Wasser, 2021). On the other hand, surveyed therapists point out that “remote work offers specific sources of data, for example, stemming from the patient’s camera positioning, provides opportunities for the emergence of previously absent content in therapy, and increases the openness of patients” (Zielona-Jenek et al. [2021], p. 33).

## **Building a relationship based on trust**

Suchanek (2018) raises the problem of “creatively participating in a conversation in the role of a listener who, together with the speaker, co-creates the meaningful space of the ongoing conversation” (Suchanek, p. 9). In addition, working with ICT limits the specialist’s ability to manage the process, model communication, reflect and lead. The mediation of the screen is a barrier to the effectiveness of often intuitive techniques based on kinesthetic or proxemic aspects of communication. The use of a specialist’s charisma highlighted by his direct

presence may be limited in the online formula (Goodman, 2006). Professionals themselves also point to these barriers. Psychotherapists surveyed by Frąckowiak-Sochańska and Hermanowski [2021] mention the “lack of opportunity to observe bodily reactions, disrupted nonverbal communication, difficulties in observing facial expressions, or limited field of vision” (Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski [2021], p. 18). In a similar vein, the mediators surveyed also relate the barriers to online communication to the limited opportunity to build trust (in each other, in the procedure, in the other party) at the initial stage of mediation support (Krawczyk-Bryłka, Bellwon, 2014, pp. 252–253). Wasser (2021) emphasizes the importance of these barriers, particularly in relation to areas where “the specialist’s preparedness in diagnosing nonverbal signals, emotional indicators, and building empathic support appears crucial in terms of the effectiveness of the process” (Wasser, 2021, p. 274). Some authors express an even more categorical view, describing the online space as preventing the establishment of an initial trust-based relationship (Amichai-Hamburger et al., 2014). Opinions on this matter, however, are divided. They range from categorical statements claiming that online communication hinders the establishment of a therapeutic alliance, to positions stating that online communication has no impact on the ability to build a therapeutic alliance. There are also perspectives that emphasize the importance of anonymity and a sense of security in fostering a therapeutic relationship in online contact (Kluzowicz, Kluzowicz, 2021; Skrzypińska, 2014).

### **Support in difficult situations (coping with crisis) in the online process**

Indeed, a therapist’s and mediator’s professionalism largely depends on their ability to handle crises, impasses, and provide support in variously defined and perceived difficult situations. Some of the techniques used in these critical moments are not accessible in remote contact, some require modifications, and ultimately, some techniques may be applicable but with a risk of reduced effectiveness or a lack of understanding from the recipient’s perspective (Czapska, 2019). Another difficulty may be that motivation, engagement (Olasupo, Atiri, 2013), as well as the ability to focus attention while increasing distractions may be lowered during online sessions. And yet, as Suchanek emphasizes, “the basis of good contact is full involvement in the matter” (Suchanek, 2018, p. 8). As previously emphasized, this should be considered in the context of specific situations, the type of support provided, and even the stage of support being offered. While the computer screen may be seen as a barrier in therapy when it comes to expressing aggressive content, the physical separation of parties can be seen as an advantage in mediation, particularly in cases involving asymmetrical, violent, or high-risk relationships that carry the potential for secondary victimization (Wasser, 2021). This applies to mediations involving juvenile offenders, as well as family and school/peer mediations.

## **(Un)exploited potential in online formula**

There are numerous barriers that can affect the effectiveness of online support processes, but these challenges can also present opportunities and potential benefits. Recognizing these and then incorporating them into mediation and therapeutic practices is another crucial skill for specialists. Goodman points to a reduction in effectiveness during the negotiation phase, due to limited implementation of negotiation techniques, a failure to leverage empathetic listening, open dialogue, or the opportunities offered by emotional ventilation and the synergy effect in creating innovative solutions (Goodman, 2002). However, it's worth noting that many authors view the loss of natural dynamics in the online mediation process (Goodman, 2002), and the exclusion of much of the emotional color from it, as an opportunity for rational and factual work on solutions (Kotwicka, 2017). This is another aspect of remote work that can be interpreted, depending on the individual case, as either a threat or an opportunity, and attitudes towards it can be strongly ambivalent. Both professionals and clients have noticed increased openness in indirect contact. This is reflected in client statements, where they emphasize that they find it easier to open up to the psychotherapist online (Kluzowicz, Kluzowicz, 2021, p.13). Some describe an “unblocking effect of online communication,” which can be useful, especially for individuals who find “direct contact overwhelming” (Frąckowiak-Sochańska, Hermanowski [2021], p. 14). Attempts to explain this phenomenon often revolve around the competencies of the specialist, who in online contact may be compelled to employ more active communication techniques (Fagundes et al., 2020). A greater sense of security in patients or clients who remain in familiar surroundings is also emphasized, as is the issue of resistance in psychotherapy (Kluzowicz, Kluzowicz, 2021).

Lastly, we should mention the evident and repeatedly acknowledged positive aspects of online psychopedagogical support. The borderless nature of the Internet is a factor to consider when evaluating the feasibility of incorporating online services into one's practice. In various types of support – group therapy, family therapy, mediation in social or group conflicts (for instance, in a restorative justice session at school) – online service can be more accessible due to availability and cost issues (Gassova et al., 2018). Furthermore, online therapy can help some individuals begin traditional psychotherapy (Amichai-Hamburger et al., 2009). The aspect of time flexibility and the increased availability of online services also play crucial roles in cases where the timing of support and conclusion of proceedings is paramount (for instance, during a breakup crisis when child custody is the subject of mediation).

## Summary and conclusions

As can be observed from the preceding review, working in an online format presents significant challenges in adapting communication tools and techniques to the new, often unfamiliar working method, and to the needs and capabilities of oneself and the client or patient. It's important to note that, according to many critics, digital relationships cannot simply be viewed as a substitute or mirror image of face-to-face relationships. Eisen even points out the difficulty in translating mediator's skills to the online setting (Eisen 1998, p. 1331), suggesting a need to search for new techniques and tools. This becomes increasingly vital because the lack of direct communication affects numerous components of the psychopedagogical process, including therapeutic and mediation support.

This study provides an opportunity to examine online support in terms of its numerous limitations, as well as the potential opportunities it presents. The discussion presented here, based on the authors' experience in providing online support, is informed by both current empirical data and theoretical research. Starting from an analysis of therapeutic and mediation work, it prompts previously insufficiently addressed research questions and extends this experience to other areas of support. By suggesting certain paths for the training and improvement of specialists working within the online framework, it illustrates the complex interconnections and diversity of proposed solutions. While attempting to answer questions about the standard of communication in remote formats, it does not claim to provide all the answers. Instead, it seeks to expand the field of analysis.

## References

- [1] Amichai-Hamburger Y., Brunstein Klomek A., Friedman D., Zuckerman O., Shani-Sherman T., 2014, *The future of online therapy*, „Computers in Human Behavior”, 41.
- [2] Aouil B., 2004, *Psychologia pomocy online*, Wydawnictwo Magraf, Bydgoszcz.
- [3] Aouil B., 2010, *Poradnictwo i wsparcie psychologiczne online dla dzieci i młodzieży – ofiar przemocy*, „Dziecko Krzywdzone”, 9 (2).
- [4] American Psychological Association, 1997, *APA statement on services by telephone, teleconferencing and Internet*.
- [5] Appleton R., Williams J., Vera San Juan N., Needle J.J., Schlieff M., Jordan H., Sheridan Rains L., Goulding L., Badhan M., Roxburgh E., Barnett P., Spyridonidis S., Tomaskova M., Mo J., Harju-Seppänen J., Haime Z., Casetta C., Papamichail A., Lloyd-Evans B., Simpson A., Johnson S., 2021, *Implementation, Adoption, and Perceptions of Telemental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Systematic Review*, „Journal of Medical Internet Research”, 23 (12).
- [6] Bao Y., Sun Y., Meng S., Shi J., Lu L., 2020, *2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society*, „The Lancet”, 395 (10224).

- [7] Barak A., Buchanan T., 2004, *Internet-based Psychological Testing and Assessment*, [in:] *Online Counseling. A Handbook for Health Professionals*, (eds.) R. Kraus, J. Zack, G. Stricker, Elsevier, Inc, San Diego.
- [8] Brooks S.K., Webster R.K., Smith L.E., Woodland L., Wessely S., Greenberg N., Rubin G.J., 2020, *The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence*, „The Lancet”, 395 (10227).
- [9] Budman S.H., 2000, *Behavioral Health Care dot-com and Beyond. Computer-mediated Communications in Mental Health and Substance Abuse Treatment*, „American Psychologist”, 55.
- [10] Czapska K., 2019, *Elektronizacja postępowania mediacyjnego jako wyzwanie dla polskiego systemu rozwiązywania sporów*, „Studia Iuridica”, 77.
- [11] Ćwikła B., 2021, *Pojęcie i historia mediacji. Mediacje jako alternatywna metoda rozwiązywania sporów w sprawach pracowniczych – raport*, ZPP, Warsaw.
- [12] Dąbek D., 2021, *Odpowiedzialność zawodowa mediatora – kluczowe zasady mediacji w odbiorze indywidualnym i społecznym – z uwzględnieniem czasu pandemii*, „Edukacja Etyczna”, 18.
- [13] Dragan M., Skrodzka M., Grajewski P., 2020, *Raport z badań „Stresory, radzenie sobie oraz symptomy zaburzenia adaptacyjnego w czasie pandemii COVID-19”*, Warsaw.
- [14] Drath W., Nęcki S., 2018, *Psychoterapia online z perspektywy polskich psychoterapeutów*, „Psychoterapia”, 3 (186).
- [15] Effort-Szczepaniak K., 2021, *Sposoby prowadzenia mediacji*, [in:] *Mediacja w praktyce mediatora i pełnomocnika*, (eds.) C. Rogula, A. Zemke-Górecka, Wolters Kluwer, Warsaw.
- [16] Eisen J.B., 1998, *Are We Ready For Mediation in Cyberspace?*, „Brigham Young University Law Review”, 1305.
- [17] Fagundes Machado L., Paris Feijó L., Barcellos Serralta F., 2020, *Prática da psicoterapia online por terapeutas psicodinâmicos. Online psychotherapy practice by psychodynamic therapists*, „Psico”, 51 (3).
- [18] Fenichel M.A., 2004, *Online Behavior, Communication, and Experience*, [in:] *Online Counseling. A Handbook for Health Professionals*, (red.) R. Kraus, J. Zack, G. Stricker, Elsevier, Inc., San Diego.
- [19] Gassova Z., Ronald J., Werner-Wilson R.J., 2018, *Characteristics of E-therapy Websites Involving Marriage and Family Therapists*, „The American Journal of Family Therapy”, 46 (1).
- [20] Goodman J.W., 2006, *The advantages and disadvantages of online dispute resolution: an assessment of cyber-mediations web sites*, „Journal of Internet Law”, 9 (11).
- [21] Goodman J.W., 2020, *The pros and cons of Online Dispute Resolution: an assesment of cyber-mediation websites*, „Duke Law & Technology Review”, 2.
- [22] Grabowski M., 2012, *E-mediacja jako metoda rozwiązywania sporów w handlu elektronicznym*, „Kwartalnik ADR”, 4.
- [23] Holmes L., Ainsworth M., 2004, *The Future of Online Counseling*, [in:] *Online Counseling. A Hand-book for Health Professionals*, (eds.) R. Kraus, J. Zack, G. Stricker, Elsevier, Inc., San Diego.
- [24] Kluzowicz J., Kluzowicz M., 2021, *Psychoterapia w czasie izolacji społecznej związanej z COVID-19 – perspektywa terapeutów i klientów*, „Psychoterapia”, 1 (196).
- [25] Kotwicka W., 2017, *E-mediacja jako pozasądowa metoda rozwiązywania sporów konsumenckich*, „Prawo Mediów Elektronicznych”, 3 (27).

- [26] Krawczyk-Bryłka B., Bellwon, M., 2014, *Oczekiwanie stron konfliktu i mediatorów wobec e-mediacji. Czy e-mediacja ma przyszłość?*, [in:] *Rozwiązywanie sytuacji konfliktowych w wymiarze jednostkowym i społecznym*, (ed.) M. Plucińska, Wydawnictwo Nukowe WNS UAM, Poznań.
- [27] Kraus R., 2004, *Ethical and Legal Considerations for Providers of Mental Health Services Online*, [in:] *Online Counseling. A Hand-book for Health Professionals*, (eds.) R. Kraus, J. Zack, G. Stricker, Elsevier, Inc., San Diego.
- [28] Madej A., Sendler D., Makara-Studzińska M., 2016, *Psychoterapia on-line: wyzwanie dla etyki*, „Psychoterapia”, 3 (178).
- [29] Mania K., 2010, *ODR (Online Dispute Resolution) – podstawowe zagadnienia*, „Kwartalnik ADR”, 1 (9).
- [30] Mania K., 2017, *Online Dispute Resolution*, [in:] *Mediacja, teoria, normy, praktyka*, (eds.) K. Pleszka, J. Czapska, M. Araszkiwicz, M. Pękala, Warsaw.
- [31] Melosik Z. (ed.), 2001, *Młodość i styl życia: paradoksy pop-tożsamości*, [in:] *Młodość. Styl życia i zdrowie. Konteksty i kontrowersje*, Akademia Medyczna. Wydział Nauk o Zdrowiu, Poznań.
- [32] Olasupo O.M., Atiri O.S., 2013, *E-Therapy: Contemporary Tool in Psychotherapy*, „IFE Psychologia”, 21.
- [33] Skowroński D., Waszyńska K., 2014, „Couple Counselling and Sex Therapy in an Online Setting”. Presented at IMHPJ 18th Annual Conference. March 8–9, Saitama, 2014, Japan (niepublikowane wystąpienie).
- [34] Skowroński D., Waszyńska K., 2015, *Poradnictwo online jako alternatywna forma pomocy psychopedagogicznej i terapeutycznej*, [in:] *Dymensje poradnictwa i wsparcia społecznego w perspektywie interdyscyplinarnej*, (ed.) M. Piorunek, Wydawnictwo Naukowe UAM, Poznań.
- [35] Skowroński D., Waszyńska K., 2015, *Poradnictwo i terapia seksuologiczna. Główne założenia teoretyczne i metody pracy*, „Studia Edukacyjne”, 36.
- [36] Skowroński D., Waszyńska K., 2016a, *Czteropoziomowy model interwencji w poradnictwie i terapii seksuologicznej*, [in:] *Współczesne konteksty psychoterapii i socjoterapii – wybrane zagadnienia z teorii i praktyki*, (eds.) K. Waszyńska, M. Filipiak, Wydawnictwo Naukowe UAM, Poznań.
- [37] Skowroński D., Waszyńska K., 2016b, *Wprowadzenie do poradnictwa i psychoterapii przez Internet – podstawowe założenia i implikacje kliniczne*, [in:] *Współczesne konteksty psychoterapii i socjoterapii – wybrane zagadnienia z teorii i praktyki*, (eds.) K. Waszyńska, M. Filipiak, Wydawnictwo Naukowe UAM, Poznań.
- [38] Skowroński D., Waszyńska K., 2017, *The Theoretical and Practical Implications of Sex Therapy Online*, „Journal of Sexual Medicine”, 14 (5). Elsevier – materiał pokonferencyjny z konferencji: The 23rd Congress of the World Association for Sexual Health, Praga, 2017-05-24.
- [39] Skrzypińska D., 2014, *Terapia poznawczo-behawioralna online*, [in:] *Człowiek zalogowany*, Vol. 3: *Różnorodność sieciowej rzeczywistości*, (eds.) K. Tucholska, M. Wysocka-Pleczyk, Biblioteka Jagiellońska, Kraków.
- [40] Suchanek M., 2018, *Mediacja jako metoda rozwiązywania konfliktów społecznych*, „Studia Administracyjne”, 10.
- [41] Wasser L.A., 2021, *Design challenges in applying online dispute resolution to divorce*, „Family Court Review”, 59 (2).

- [42] Zack J., 2004, 2 *Technology of Online Counseling*, [in:] *Online Counseling. A Handbook for Health Professionals*, (eds.) R. Kraus, J. Zack, G. Stricker, Elsevier, Inc., San Diego.

## Internet Sources

- [43] Chocholska P., Osipczuk M., 2010, *E-pomoc. Psychologiczna pomoc w internecie. Teoria i praktyka*, <http://www.psychotekst.pl/pdf/E-pomoc%20Psychologiczna%20pomoc%20w%20Internecie%20-%20teoria%20i%20praktyka.pdf> (accessed: 12.02.2022).
- [44] Frąckowiak-Sochańska M., Hermanowski M., 2021, *Psychoterapeutki i psychoterapeuci w czasie pandemii raport z badań. Część pierwsza: marzec–wrzesień 2020* [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354691987\\_Psychoterapeuci\\_i\\_psychoterapeutki\\_w\\_czasie\\_pandemii\\_Raport\\_Czesc\\_pierwsza\\_marzec\\_wrzesien\\_2020/](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354691987_Psychoterapeuci_i_psychoterapeutki_w_czasie_pandemii_Raport_Czesc_pierwsza_marzec_wrzesien_2020/) (accessed: 02.02.2022).
- [45] Panchal N., Kamal R., Cox C., Garfield R., 2021, *The Implications of COVID-19 for Mental Health and Substance Use*. Kaiser Family Foundation. <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/the-implications-of-covid-19-for-mental-health-and-substance-use/> (accessed: 06.03.2022).
- [46] Grudziecka M., Książak B., Żarek A., 2020, *Standardy e-mediacji Polskiego Centrum Mediacji*, <https://mediator.org.pl/baza-wiedzy/standardy-mediacji> (accessed: 23.02.2022).
- [47] Zielona-Jenek M., Izdebska A., Soroko E., 2021, *Doświadczenia psychoterapeutów psychodynamicznych związane z pracą z pacjentami w czasie epidemii COVID-19 w Polsce, part 1: Terra incognita. Doświadczenia początku epidemii*, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349052245\\_Raport\\_1\\_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349052245_Raport_1_) (accessed: 27.02.2022).

